

# **EXHIBIT**

## **B**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
555 4<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20530

THE STATE OF ALABAMA,  
501 Washington Avenue  
Montgomery, AL 36130

THE STATE OF ALASKA,  
1031 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 200  
Anchorage, AK 99501

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,  
1275 W. Washington  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

THE STATE OF ARKANSAS,  
323 Center Street, Suite 200  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste. 14500  
San Francisco, CA 94102-7007

THE STATE OF COLORADO,  
1525 Sherman Street – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80203

THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT,  
55 Elm Street, P.O. Box 120  
Hartford, CT 06141-0120

THE STATE OF DELAWARE,  
820 N. French Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,  
3507 E. Frontage Road  
Suite 325  
Tamp, FL 33607

THE STATE OF GEORGIA,	)
40 Capitol Square, S.W.	)
Atlanta, Georgia 30334	)
	)
THE STATE OF HAWAII,	)
425 Queen Street	)
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813	)
	)
THE STATE OF IDAHO,	)
700 W. Jefferson St.	)
P.O. Box 83720	)
Boise, ID 83720-0010	)
	)
THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	)
500 South Second Street	)
Springfield, IL 62706	)
	)
THE STATE OF INDIANA,	)
302 West Washington St., IGCS 5th Fl.	)
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204	)
	)
THE STATE OF IOWA,	)
1305 E. Walnut St.	)
Des Moines, IA 50319	)
	)
THE STATE OF KANSAS,	)
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor	)
Topeka, KS 66612	)
	)
THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,	)
State Capitol, Suite 118	)
700 Capital Avenue	)
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3449	)
	)
THE STATE OF LOUISIANA,	)
1185 N. Third Street	)
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802	)
	)
THE STATE OF MAINE,	)
Burton Cross Office Building, 6th Floor	)
111 Sewall Street	)
Augusta, Maine 04330	)
	)
THE STATE OF MARYLAND,	)
200 Saint Paul Place	)
Baltimore, MD 21202	)

)  
THE COMMONWEALTH  
OF MASSACHUSETTS,  
One Ashburton Place  
Boston, MA 02108

)  
THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,  
525 W. Ottawa Street  
PO Box 30755  
Lansing, MI 48909

)  
THE STATE OF MINNESOTA,  
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1200  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2130

)  
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI,  
Post Office Box 22947  
Jackson, MS 39225-2947

)  
THE STATE OF MISSOURI,  
PO Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

)  
THE STATE OF MONTANA,  
215 N. Sanders  
Helena MT 59624

)  
THE STATE OF NEBRASKA,  
2115 State Capitol  
Lincoln, NE 68509-8920

)  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701

)  
THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301

)  
THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY,  
124 Halsey Street – 5th Floor  
P.O. Box 45029  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

)  
THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO,  
PO Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, NM 87504-1508 )  
)  
THE STATE OF NEW YORK, )  
120 Broadway )  
New York, NY 10271 )  
)  
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, )  
P. O. Box 629 )  
Raleigh, NC 27602 )  
)  
THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA, )  
Gateway Professional Center )  
1050 E Interstate Ave, Ste. 200 )  
Bismarck, ND 58503-5574 )  
)  
THE STATE OF OHIO, )  
30 E. Broad St., 14th Floor )  
Columbus, OH 43215 )  
)  
THE STATE OF OREGON, )  
1515 SW 5th Avenue, Ste. 410 )  
Portland, OR 97201 )  
)  
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, )  
16th Floor, Strawberry Square )  
Harrisburg, PA 17120 )  
)  
THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, )  
150 South Main Street )  
Providence, RI 02903 )  
)  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, )  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519 )  
Columbia, SC 29201 )  
)  
THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, )  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1 )  
Pierre, SD 57501 )  
)  
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, )  
425 Fifth Avenue North )  
Nashville, TN 37243-3400 )  
)  
THE STATE OF TEXAS, )  
401 E. Franklin Avenue, Suite 530 )  
El Paso, Texas 79901 )

THE STATE OF UTAH,  
350 North State Street, #230  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320

THE STATE OF VERMONT,  
109 State Street  
Montpelier, Vermont 05609

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,  
900 East Main Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,  
1250 Pacific Avenue, Suite 105  
PO Box 2317  
Tacoma, WA 98402-4411

THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,  
State Capitol, Room 26E  
Charleston, WV 25305-0220

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN,  
Post Office Box 7857  
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857

THE STATE OF WYOMING, and  
123 State Capitol Bldg  
200 W. 24th  
Cheyenne, WY 82002

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,  
441 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 600-S  
Washington, DC 20001

Plaintiffs,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION,  
Corporate Center 100  
100 North Tryon Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28255

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,  
100 North Tryon Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28255 )  
)  
BAC HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP f/k/a )  
COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS )  
SERVICING, LP, )  
4500 Park Grenada )  
Calabasas, California 91302-1613 )  
)  
COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., )  
4500 Park Grenada )  
Calabasas, California 91302 )  
)  
COUNTRYWIDE FINANCIAL CORPORATION, )  
4500 Park Grenada )  
Calabasas, California 91302 )  
)  
COUNTRYWIDE MORTGAGE )  
VENTURES, LLC, )  
4500 Park Grenada )  
Calabasas, California 91302-1613 )  
)  
COUNTRYWIDE BANK, FSB, )  
100 North Tryon Street )  
Charlotte, NC 28202 )  
)  
CITIGROUP INC., )  
399 Park Ave. )  
New York, New York 10022-4614 )  
)  
CITIBANK, N.A., )  
399 Park Ave. )  
New York, New York 10022-4617 )  
)  
CITIMORTGAGE, INC., )  
1000 Technology Drive )  
O'Fallon, Missouri 63368 )  
)  
J.P. MORGAN CHASE & COMPANY, )  
270 Park Avenue )  
New York, New York 10017 )  
)  
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. )  
1111 Polaris Parkway )  
Columbus, OH 43240 )  
)  
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, )

1100 Virginia Drive )  
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034 )  
) )  
ALLY FINANCIAL, INC., )  
200 Renaissance Center )  
P.O. Box 200 )  
Detroit, Michigan 48265 )  
) )  
GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, )  
1100 Virginia Drive )  
Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034 )  
) )  
GMAC RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CO. LLC )  
8400 Normandale Lake Boulevard )  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55437 )  
) )  
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, )  
420 Montgomery Street Front )  
San Francisco, CA 94104-1205 )  
) )  
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., )  
One Home Campus )  
Des Moines, IA 50328 )  
) )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

## COMPLAINT

Now comes the United States, and the States of Alabama, Alaska,  
Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida,  
Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine,  
Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska,  
Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina,  
North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,  
Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,  
Wyoming, the Commonwealths of Kentucky, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and



Virginia, and the District of Columbia by and through their undersigned attorneys,  
and respectfully allege as follows:

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a civil action filed jointly by the United States; the States of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming; the Commonwealths of Kentucky, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and Virginia; and the District of Columbia against Residential Capital, LLC, Ally Financial, Inc., and GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Bank of America Corporation, Bank of America, N.A., BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, Countrywide Financial Corporation, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., Countrywide Mortgage Ventures, LLC, and Countrywide Bank FSB; Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., and CitiMortgage, Inc.; J.P. Morgan Chase & Company and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.; and Wells Fargo & Company and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., for misconduct related to their origination and servicing of single family residential mortgages.

2. As described in the allegations below, Defendants' misconduct resulted in the issuance of improper mortgages, premature and unauthorized foreclosures, violation of service members' and other homeowners' rights and

protections, the use of false and deceptive affidavits and other documents, and the waste and abuse of taxpayer funds. Each of the allegations regarding Defendants contained herein applies to instances in which one or more, and in some cases all, of the Defendants engaged in the conduct alleged.

### **THE PARTIES**

3. This action is brought by the United States of America, on behalf of its agencies and departments, acting through the United States Department of Justice.

4. This action is also brought by the States of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming; the Commonwealths of Kentucky, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania and Virginia; and the District of Columbia. Collectively the plaintiffs identified in this paragraph are referred to here as the “plaintiff States.” This action is brought by the Attorneys General of the plaintiff States pursuant to consumer protection enforcement authority conferred on them by state law and pursuant to *parens patriae* and common law authority. The Attorneys General are authorized to seek injunctive relief, restitution for consumers, and civil penalties for violation of the consumer protection laws of their States.

5. Defendant Bank of America Corporation is a diversified global financial services company and a bank holding company. It is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Defendant Bank of America, N.A. is a national banking association headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Defendant BAC Home Loans Servicing, L.P. was a servicing company that had formerly been known as Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, L.P. It was a Texas limited partnership with its principal place of business in Plano, Texas. It was, for a time, a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America, N.A. In July 2011, it was merged into Bank of America, N.A. This action is also brought against Countrywide Financial Corporation, a financial services company headquartered in Calabasas, California, and three of its subsidiaries, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., Countrywide Mortgage Ventures, LLC, and Countrywide Bank, FSB (collectively, with Countrywide Financial Corporation, “Countrywide”). On April 23, 2009, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency approved Countrywide Bank, FSB’s (“CWB”) request to convert its charter back to that of a national bank and the request by Bank of America, N.A. to then immediately acquire CWB by merger. These transactions were executed on April 27, 2009, as a result of which CWB ceased to exist. Bank of America, N.A. was the surviving institution resulting from this merger. Thus, Bank of America, N.A. is the successor in interest to CWB. Collectively the defendants identified in this paragraph are referred to here as “BOA.” The business of BOA and its subsidiaries and affiliates includes origination and servicing of mortgage loans.

6. Defendant Citigroup Inc. is a diversified global financial services company. It is a Delaware corporation headquartered in New York City. Defendant Citibank, N.A. is a national banking association. It is Citigroup Inc.'s primary U.S. subsidiary depositor institution. It is headquartered in New York City. Citibank, N.A. is a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Citigroup, Inc. It provides residential real estate lending. Defendant CitiMortgage is a New York corporation, wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Citigroup, Inc., and is a residential mortgage loan servicing company headquartered in O'Fallon, Missouri. Collectively the three defendants identified in this paragraph are referred to here as "Citigroup." The business of Citigroup and its subsidiaries and affiliates, includes the origination and servicing of mortgage loans.

7. Defendant J.P. Morgan Chase & Company is a diversified global financial services firm. It is a Delaware corporation, headquartered in New York, New York. On May 30, 2008, J.P. Morgan Chase & Company acquired The Bear Stearns Companies Inc. (now the Bear Stearns Companies LLC) by merger, including its subsidiary EMC Mortgage Corporation (now EMC Mortgage LLC). Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national banking association. It is headquartered in Columbus, Ohio. On September 25, 2008, Washington Mutual Bank., F.S.B., a federal savings bank headquartered in Henderson, Nevada, failed, and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed all deposit and substantially all other liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank., F.S.B., pursuant to a Purchase and Assumption Agreement with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the FDIC as Receiver for

Washington Mutual Bank, F.S.B. Collectively the two defendants identified in this paragraph are referred to here as “J.P. Morgan.” The business of J.P. Morgan and its subsidiaries and affiliates includes the origination and servicing of mortgage loans.

8. Defendant Residential Capital, LLC is a residential real estate finance company. It is a Delaware limited liability company headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC. Defendant Ally Financial, Inc. (formerly GMAC, Inc.) is a diversified financial services firm. It is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Detroit, Michigan. Defendant GMAC Mortgage, LLC is a financial services company that engages in origination and servicing of residential mortgages. It is a Delaware limited liability company headquartered in Fort Washington, Pennsylvania. It was formerly known as GMAC Mortgage Corporation. Defendant GMAC Residential Funding Co. LLC is a residential mortgage servicing company. It is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Collectively the four defendants identified in this paragraph are referred to here as “GMAC.” The business of GMAC and its subsidiaries and affiliates, includes origination and servicing of mortgage loans.

9. Defendant Wells Fargo & Company is a diversified financial services company. It is a Delaware corporation, headquartered in San Francisco, California. Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is a national banking association and a subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Company. Wells Fargo & Company is the successor in interest to Wachovia Corporation, a diversified financial services

company headquartered in Charlotte, North Carolina. Wachovia Corporation was acquired by Wells Fargo & Company in 2008. Collectively the two defendants identified in this paragraph are referred to here as “Wells Fargo.” The business of Wells Fargo and its subsidiaries and affiliates includes the origination and servicing of mortgage loans.

10. For this Complaint, defendants GMAC, BOA, Citigroup, J.P. Morgan and Wells Fargo and all of their affiliated entities, during or prior to such time as they were affiliated, are referred to collectively as the “Banks” or “Defendants.”

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Banks because the Banks have transacted business in this District, and because the Banks have committed acts proscribed by the False Claims Act in this District.

12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the action arises under the laws of the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1345 because this is a civil action commenced by the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a) because this is an action for the recovery or enforcement of a fine or penalty incurred under an Act of Congress, and pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) to the extent the claims arise under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 to 3733.

13. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(b), this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of the claims asserted by the States in this action because those claims are so related to the claims asserted by

the United States that they form part of the same case or controversy, and because those claims arise out of the same transactions or occurrences as the action brought by the United States under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 to 3733.

14. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2) and 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a).

## **I. BACKGROUND**

### **A. Overview of Relevant Federal Programs**

#### **1. The Federal Housing Administration (FHA)**

15. The FHA provides mortgage insurance on loans made by FHA-approved lenders throughout the United States. Among other things, FHA insures mortgages on “single family” housing, which refers to one- to four- family dwellings. See, e.g., 12 U.S.C. § 1709; see generally 24 C.F.R. Part 203.

16. FHA mortgage insurance provides lenders with protection against losses when home buyers default on mortgage loans insured by FHA. See generally 12 U.S.C. § 1710, 24 C.F.R. Part 203.

17. FHA-approved lenders, known as Direct Endorsement Lenders, ensure that loans meet strict underwriting criteria, including income-verification, credit analysis, and property appraisal, established by the FHA to be eligible for insurance. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(c)-(e) (Direct Endorsement requirements for underwriter due diligence, mortgagor income evaluation and appraisal).

18. The FHA insurance operations are funded by a statutorily established Mutual Mortgage Insurance Fund (MMIF). 12 U.S.C. § 1708(a). The

MMIF is sustained by insurance premiums, and the Secretary of the U.S.

Department of Housing and Urban Development is required to provide for an annual actuarial study to assess the financial position of the MMIF. 12 U.S.C. § 1708(a)(4), (7).

19. The FHA insurance program, by reducing the risk borne by approved lenders, is designed to stimulate lending to creditworthy borrowers, thereby increasing homeownership and aiding local communities in the form of community development, increased tax bases, and related benefits.

**2. The Department of Agriculture's Rural Housing Service Rural Housing Guarantee Program (RHS)**

20. The RHS program provides mortgage insurance guarantees for loans made to qualified borrowers for housing in rural communities. See 7 C.F.R. § 1980.345 (applicant eligibility). The RHS partners with a broad range of eligible lenders. When an eligible lender certifies that all program requirements have been met, delivers a completed Loan Closing Report, and pays the guarantee fee, the RHS concurrently executes a loan note guarantee. 7 C.F.R. §§ 1980.309(a) (qualification of lenders), 1980.361 (issuance of loan note guarantee).

21. The RHS loan program is intended "to assist eligible households in obtaining adequate but modest, decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings and related facilities for their own use in rural areas." 7 C.F.R. § 1980.301(a).

22. Like the FHA insurance program, the RHS program promotes lending to creditworthy borrowers that meet the Department of Agriculture's underwriting requirements.



**3. The United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Loan Guaranty Service Home Loan Program**

23. The VA Home Loan Program's guaranties are issued to help eligible service members, veterans, reservists and certain unmarried surviving spouses obtain homes, condominiums, residential cooperative housing units, and manufactured homes. 38 U.S.C. §§ 3701(b)(3), 3710(a), 3712. The primary purpose of the VA Home Loan Program is to help such individuals finance the purchase of homes on more advantageous terms than typically would be available to them.

24. The VA provides a repayment guarantee to qualified lenders equal to a specified percentage of the loan upon default of the primary debtor. 38 U.S.C. §§ 3702(d), 3712(c)(2)-(3); 38 C.F.R. §§ 36.4202, 36.4225. Only loans meeting the VA's underwriting requirements are entitled to the VA's insurance guarantee.

25. By providing protection in the event of a default, the VA's insurance program encourages lenders to provide financing to veterans.

**4. The United States Trustee Program**

26. The United States Trustee Program is a component of the Department of Justice that seeks to promote the efficiency and protect the integrity of the Federal bankruptcy system. To further the public interest in the just, speedy and economical resolution of cases filed under the Bankruptcy Code, the Program monitors the conduct of bankruptcy parties and private estate trustees, oversees related administrative functions, and acts to ensure compliance with applicable laws and procedures. It also identifies and helps investigate

bankruptcy fraud and abuse in coordination with United States Attorneys, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and other law enforcement agencies.

27. The primary role of the U.S. Trustee Program is to serve as the “watchdog” over the bankruptcy process.

28. United States Trustees supervise the administration of liquidation proceedings under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, reorganization proceedings under Chapter 11, family farm and fisherman reorganization proceedings under Chapter 12, and “Wage-earner” reorganization proceedings under Chapter 13.

29. Specific responsibilities of the United States Trustees include appointing and supervising private trustees who administer Chapter 7, 12, and 13 bankruptcy estates (and serving as trustees in such cases where private trustees are unable or unwilling to serve); taking legal action to enforce the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and to prevent fraud and abuse; referring matters for investigation and criminal prosecution when appropriate; ensuring that bankruptcy estates are administered promptly and efficiently, and that professional fees are reasonable; appointing and convening creditors’ committees in Chapter 11 business reorganization cases; reviewing disclosure statements and applications for the retention of professionals; and advocating matters relating to the Bankruptcy Code and rules of procedure in court.

#### **B. The Single Family Mortgage Industry**

30. The single family mortgage industry consists of financial services and other firms that originate, underwrite, securitize, and service mortgages for residential properties designed to house one- to four-family dwellings.

31. Mortgage origination is the process whereby a lender loans money to a borrower and receives a security interest in property, through a mortgage or comparable device that secures the loan. Origination generally includes all the steps from receiving a loan application through disbursal of the loan proceeds.

32. For more than thirty years, mortgages typically have been “pooled” to create an investment vehicle, often denominated as a trust, and interests in the trusts have been sold to investors that own interests in payment streams generated by principal and interest payments by the borrowers.

33. After mortgages are originated, a “servicer” is responsible for mortgage administration activities, known as servicing activities, which generally include collecting payments from mortgagors; applying payments made in an agreed-upon order to the mortgagor’s indebtedness; distributing payments after allowable deductions to the investment trust entities for distribution to investors; making advances to cover delinquent mortgage payments and other costs, such as the costs of protecting and maintaining properties that collateralize mortgage loans when mortgagors fail to do so; pursuing collections from delinquent mortgagors; and pursuing either loss mitigation or foreclosure, as appropriate, to minimize the loss to investors and others when mortgagors become delinquent on mortgage payments.

### **C. The United States’ Stimulus / Rescue Efforts**

34. Beginning in the fall of 2008, the federal government instituted several measures to try to stabilize the housing and credit markets and assist troubled homeowners.

35. In October 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) was passed to promote stability and liquidity in the financial system. Among other things, EESA authorized the Secretary of the Treasury to establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). TARP funds were used, in part, to promote various mortgage loan modification programs.

36. *The Making Home Affordable (MHA) Program.* In March 2009, the United States launched the MHA Program. The MHA Program included the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP), a Treasury program that uses TARP funds to provide incentives for mortgage servicers to modify eligible first-lien mortgages.

37. HAMP uses incentive payments to encourage loan servicers and owners of mortgage loans or bonds backed by mortgage loans to modify eligible first lien mortgages so that monthly payments of homeowners who are in default or at imminent risk of default will be reduced to affordable and sustainable levels.

38. *The Home Price Decline Protection Incentives (HPDP) initiative.* The HPDP initiative is designed to encourage modifications of loans in markets hardest hit by falling home prices. The HPDP initiative provides investors with additional incentives for loan modifications on properties located in areas where home prices have recently declined and where investors are concerned that price declines may persist.

39. *The Principal Reduction Alternative (PRA).* PRA is designed to encourage the use of principal reduction in modifications for eligible borrowers whose homes are worth significantly less than the remaining outstanding principal

balances of their first-lien mortgage loans. It provides investor incentives to offset a portion of the principal reduction.

40. *The Home Affordable Unemployment Program (UP)*. UP is designed to offer assistance to unemployed homeowners through temporary forbearance of a portion of their mortgage payments.

41. *The Home Affordable Foreclosure Alternatives Program (HAFA)*. HAFA is designed to provide incentives to servicers, investors and borrowers to utilize short sales and deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure for HAMP-eligible loans in cases in which the borrower can no longer afford to stay in their home but want to avoid foreclosure. Under this program, the servicer releases the lien against the property and the investor waives all rights to seek a deficiency judgment against a borrower who uses a short sale or deed-in-lieu when the property is worth less than the outstanding principal balance of the mortgage.

42. *The Second Lien Modification Program (2MP)*. 2MP is designed to modify second lien mortgages when a corresponding first lien is modified under HAMP.

43. *The FHA-HAMP Program*. The FHA-HAMP Program is designed to provide compensation to the holders and servicers of FHA-insured mortgages that are modified under FHA-HAMP, to reduce payments to more affordable levels.

44. *The Treasury/FHA Second-Lien Program (FHA2LP)*. FHA2LP is designed to facilitate refinancing under the FHA Short Refinance Program by reducing second liens. Treasury provides incentives to participating servicers and

investors who agree to partial or full extinguishment of second liens associated with an FHA refinance.

45. *The FHA Refinance for Borrowers with Negative Equity (FHA Short Refinance) Program.* This program is partially supported by TARP funds and allows servicers and investors who write down a borrower's principal balance on a non-FHA-insured, existing, underwater, first-lien mortgage loan in connection with a refinancing to obtain FHA insurance on the newly refinanced mortgage. Treasury has provided a TARP-funded letter of credit for up to \$8 billion in loss coverage on these newly refinanced FHA loans.

46. *Housing Finance Agency Hardest Hit Fund (HHF).* HHF is a TARP-funded program designed to fund foreclosure prevention programs run by state housing finance agencies in states hit hardest by the decrease in home prices and in states with high unemployment rates. Eighteen states and Washington, D.C. have received approval for aid through this program.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### **A. The Banks' Servicing Misconduct**

47. Each of the Banks services home mortgage loans secured by residential properties owned by individual citizens of the Plaintiff States, and of the United States.

48. Each Bank is engaged in trade or commerce in each of the Plaintiff States and is subject to the consumer protection laws of the States in the conduct of their debt collection, loss mitigation and foreclosure activities. The consumer

protection laws of the Plaintiff States include laws prohibiting unfair or deceptive practices.

**1. The Banks' Unfair, Deceptive, and Unlawful Servicing Processes**

49. Under the States' consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

50. In the course of their conduct, management and oversight of loan servicing in the Plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.

51. The Banks' unfair and deceptive practices in the discharge of their loan servicing activities, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. failing to timely and accurately apply payments made by borrowers and failing to maintain accurate account statements;
- b. charging excessive or improper fees for default-related services;
- c. failing to properly oversee third party vendors involved in servicing activities on behalf of the Banks;
- d. imposing force-placed insurance without properly notifying the borrowers and when borrowers already had adequate coverage;
- e. providing borrowers false or misleading information in response to borrower complaints; and
- f. failing to maintain appropriate staffing, training, and quality control systems.

**2. The Banks' Unfair, Deceptive, and Unlawful Loan Modification and Loss Mitigation Processes**

52. Under the States' consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

53. Pursuant to HUD regulations and FHA guidance, FHA-approved mortgage lenders and their servicers are required to engage in loss-mitigation efforts to avoid the foreclosure of HUD-insured single family residential mortgages. E.g., 24 C.F.R. § 203.500 et seq.; Mortgagee Letter 2008-07 ("Treble Damages for Failure to Engage in Loss Mitigation") (Sept. 26, 2008); Mortgagee Letter 1996-25 ("Existing Alternatives to Foreclosure -- Loss Mitigation") (May 8, 1996). Thus, when acting as a servicer, the Banks were required to refrain from foreclosing on any FHA insured mortgage where a default could be addressed by modifying the terms of the mortgage or other less-costly alternatives to foreclosure were available.

54. Under the Treasury's various rescue and stimulus programs, the Banks received monetary incentives from the Federal government in exchange for the commitment to make efforts to modify defaulting borrowers' single family residential mortgages. See, e.g., Making Home Affordable Handbook v.1.0, ch. 13 ("Incentive Compensation") (Aug. 19, 2010). Under the programs, the Banks agreed to fulfill requirements set forth in program guidelines and servicer participation agreements.



55. Each of the Banks regularly conducts or manages loan modifications on behalf of the entities that hold the loans and mortgages and that hired the Banks as servicers.

56. In the course of their servicing and oversight of mortgage loans, the Banks violated federal laws, program requirements and contractual requirements governing loss mitigation.

57. In the course of their conduct, management and oversight of loan modifications in the plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.

58. The Banks' failure to discharge their required loan modification obligations, and related unfair and deceptive practices, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. failing to perform proper loan modification underwriting;
- b. failing to gather or losing loan modification application documentation and other paper work;
- c. failing to provide adequate staffing to implement programs;
- d. failing to adequately train staff responsible for loan modifications;
- e. failing to establish adequate processes for loan modifications;
- f. allowing borrowers to stay in trial modifications for excessive time periods;
- g. wrongfully denying modification applications;

h. failing to respond to borrower inquiries;

i. providing false or misleading information to consumers

while referring loans to foreclosure during the loan modification  
application process;

j. providing false or misleading information to consumers

while initiating foreclosures where the borrower was in good faith actively  
pursuing a loss mitigation alternative offered by the Bank;

k. providing false or misleading information to consumers

while scheduling and conducting foreclosure sales during the loan  
application process and during trial loan modification periods;

l. misrepresenting to borrowers that loss mitigation programs

would provide relief from the initiation of foreclosure or further  
foreclosure efforts;

m. failing to provide accurate and timely information to

borrowers who are in need of, and eligible for, loss mitigation services,  
including loan modifications;

n. falsely advising borrowers that they must be at least 60

days delinquent in loan payments to qualify for a loan modification;

o. miscalculating borrowers' eligibility for loan modification

programs and improperly denying loan modification relief to eligible  
borrowers;

p. misleading borrowers by representing that loan modification applications will be handled promptly when Banks regularly fail to act on loan modifications in a timely manner;

q. failing to properly process borrowers' applications for loan modifications, including failing to account for documents submitted by borrowers and failing to respond to borrowers' reasonable requests for information and assistance;

r. failing to assign adequate staff resources with sufficient training to handle the demand from distressed borrowers; and

s. misleading borrowers by providing false or deceptive reasons for denial of loan modifications.

### **3. Wrongful Conduct Related to Foreclosures**

59. Under the States' consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

60. FHA regulations and guidance and HAMP and other MHA servicer participation agreements establish requirements to be followed in the foreclosure of single family residential mortgages that are FHA insured, or where the servicer conducting the foreclosure is an MHA participant.

61. Each of the Banks regularly conducts or manages foreclosures on behalf of entities that hold mortgage loans and have contracted with the Bank to service such loans.

62. In the course of their conduct, management, and oversight of foreclosures, the Banks violated FHA and MHA foreclosure requirements.

63. In the course of their conduct, management, and oversight of foreclosures in the plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices.

64. The Banks' failure to follow appropriate foreclosure procedures, and related unfair and deceptive practices include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. failing to properly identify the foreclosing party;
- b. charging improper fees related to foreclosures;
- c. preparing, executing, notarizing or presenting false and misleading documents, filing false and misleading documents with courts and government agencies, or otherwise using false or misleading documents as part of the foreclosure process (including, but not limited to, affidavits, declarations, certifications, substitutions of trustees, and assignments);
- d. preparing, executing, or filing affidavits in foreclosure proceedings without personal knowledge of the assertions in the affidavits and without review of any information or documentation to verify the assertions in such affidavits. This practice of repeated false attestation of information in affidavits is popularly known as "robosigning." Where third parties engaged in robosigning on behalf of the Banks, they did so with the knowledge and approval of the Banks;

- e. executing and filing affidavits in foreclosure proceedings that were not properly notarized in accordance with applicable state law;
- f. misrepresenting the identity, office, or legal status of the affiant executing foreclosure-related documents;
- g. inappropriately charging servicing, document creation, recordation and other costs and expenses related to foreclosures; and
- h. inappropriately dual-tracking foreclosure and loan modification activities, and failing to communicate with borrowers with respect to foreclosure activities.

**B. The Banks' Origination Misconduct**

**1. Unfair and Deceptive Origination Practices**

65. Under the States' consumer protection laws, the Banks are prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers.

66. Each of the Banks regularly originates mortgage loans.

67. In the course of their origination of mortgage loans in the Plaintiff States, the Banks have engaged in a pattern of unfair and deceptive practices. Among other consequences, these practices caused borrowers in the Plaintiff States to enter into unaffordable mortgage loans that led to increased foreclosures in the States.

**2. The Direct Endorsement Program**

68. The FHA's Direct Endorsement Program is a vital part of its single-family insured mortgage program. Under the Direct Endorsement

Program, the FHA does not review or approve borrower loan applications. Rather, the FHA approves lenders, called Direct Endorsement Lenders (DE Lenders), which have the responsibility and obligation for underwriting the loan and determining whether a proposed mortgage is eligible for FHA insurance according to FHA rules and requirements. Unconditional DE Lenders employ Direct Endorsement Underwriters, who are authorized to perform the underwriting of mortgage loans to be insured by the FHA. The DE Lenders give the FHA full information and documentation about an underwritten loan only after the mortgage has closed, and both the underwriter and DE Lender certify compliance with FHA requirements in submitting the loan for mortgage insurance. Although the FHA conducts regular desk reviews and brings enforcement actions, the FHA does not, and given its resources cannot, review the details of every loan. The FHA therefore relies on the underwriter's and DE Lender's certifications and due diligence as evidence of the insurability of a mortgage.

69. DE Lenders are responsible for all aspects of the mortgage application, the property analysis, and loan underwriting. The FHA relies on DE Lenders to determine (1) a borrower's ability and willingness to repay a mortgage loan, 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(d), and (2) appraisal of the property offered as security. 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(e)(3).

70. Careful compliance by DE Lenders with all FHA requirements is important in part because if a borrower defaults on an FHA-insured mortgage, the

holder of the mortgage can submit a claim to the FHA for any loss associated with the defaulted mortgage.

71. FHA regulations provide that each DE Lender owes the FHA the duty to “exercise the same level of care which it would exercise in obtaining and verifying information for a loan in which the mortgagee would be entirely dependent on the property as security to protect its investment.” 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(c). DE Lenders also owe the FHA a common law duty of due diligence. See 48 Fed. Reg. 11928, 11932 (Mar. 22, 1983). In addition, a fiduciary relationship exists between DE Lenders and the FHA. DE Lenders have a duty to the FHA to act with the utmost good faith, candor, honesty, integrity, fairness, undivided loyalty, and fidelity, and to refrain from taking advantage of the FHA by misrepresentation or lack of disclosure. DE Lenders are required to exercise sound judgment, prudence, and due diligence on behalf of the FHA in endorsing mortgages for FHA insurance.

72. DE Lenders are required to be familiar with, and to comply with, the current versions of governing FHA Handbooks and Mortgagee Letters, including HUD Handbook 4155.1, Mortgage Credit Analysis for Mortgage Insurance on One- to Four-Unit Mortgage Loans, HUD Handbook 4155.2, Lender’s Guide to the Single Family Mortgage Insurance Process, and HUD Handbook 4150.2, Valuation Analysis for Single Family One- to Four-Unit Dwellings.

### **3. Failure to Comply with Underwriting Requirements**

73. At all relevant times, Countrywide was a mortgage lender that participated in HUD's Direct Endorsement Program. Subject to the requirements of the program, Countrywide was authorized to "originate" - i.e., make - and to underwrite mortgage loans to first-time and low-income home buyers and to low-income home owners refinancing mortgages, that were insured by the FHA, an agency within HUD. In exchange for having the authority to originate and underwrite FHA-insured loans, Countrywide was obligated to determine whether prospective borrowers meet minimal credit-worthiness criteria and to certify to HUD that borrowers who received loans met the criteria. In the event that an FHA-insured loan originated by Countrywide goes into default, the FHA has guaranteed payment of the outstanding portion of the mortgage principal, accrued interest, and costs owed by the borrower.

74. During the period 2003 through April 30, 2009, Countrywide knowingly failed to comply with HUD regulations and requirements of the Direct Endorsement Program governing the origination and underwriting of FHA-insured loans. As a result, the FHA has thus far incurred hundreds of millions of dollars in damages with respect to claims paid for loans that Countrywide knowingly made to unqualified borrowers. Additionally, thousands of the Countrywide loans are currently in default and have not yet been submitted as claims to the FHA.

75. BOA has submitted claims for payment to the FHA with respect to FHA-insured mortgage loans originated and underwritten by Countrywide in



contravention of HUD regulations and the requirements of the Direct Endorsement Program during the period 2003 through April 30, 2009.

**4. Failure to Comply With Quality Control Requirements**

76. To qualify as a DE Lender, a lender has to have a fully functioning Quality Control (QC) Program that complies with FHA requirements from the date of its initial FHA approval until final surrender or termination of its approval.

77. QC plans ensure that DE Lenders follow all the FHA requirements, ensure that procedures and personnel used by DE Lenders meet FHA requirements, and provide for the correction, where necessary, and reporting of problems once a DE Lender becomes aware of their existence.

78. Under its QC requirements, the FHA requires DE Lenders to review all early payment defaults. Early payment defaults are mortgages that go into default (i.e., are more than 60 days past due) within the first six payments of the mortgage.

79. Early payment defaults may indicate problems in the underwriting process. DE Lenders are required to review early payment defaults so they can identify, correct, and report them to the FHA.

80. A DE Lender whose QC program fails to provide for appropriate review of each early payment default is in violation of the FHA's QC requirements.

81. The Banks submitted loans for insurance endorsement or claims for insurance benefits for FHA loans that the Banks endorsed or underwrote as a

participant in the FHA's Direct Endorsement Program while failing to implement applicable QC measures.

82. The Banks failed to review early payment defaults.

83. The Banks failed to dedicate sufficient staff to QC.

84. The Banks failed to address dysfunctions in their QC system.

85. The FHA has paid insurance claims relating to mortgages insured by FHA based on the Banks' false certifications that they had properly established and functioning QC programs. The FHA would not have made a financial commitment to pay such mortgage insurance if it had known about the Banks' QC failures.

86. To get and maintain DE Lender status, a DE Lender has to submit an annual certification to the FHA, stating that it conforms to all HUD/FHA regulations, handbooks, and policies.

87. Absent such a certification, a DE Lender cannot submit a mortgage for FHA insurance endorsement.

88. Contrary to the annual certifications made by the Banks, they failed to have QC programs as mandated by FHA requirements.

89. The FHA has paid insurance claims relating to mortgages insured by FHA based on the Banks' false certifications. The FHA would not have made a financial commitment to pay such mortgage insurance if it had known about the Banks' false certifications.

**C. The Banks' Bankruptcy-Related Misconduct**

90. In the ordinary course of their businesses, the Banks regularly appear as creditors, or on behalf of creditors, in bankruptcy cases, including bankruptcy cases commenced in this district and over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1334, seeking the payment of money from bankruptcy estates and/or prosecuting motions seeking relief from the automatic stay to foreclose on consumer mortgages.

91. The Banks have bankruptcy procedures that are utilized or relied upon by the Banks and their attorneys, contractors, and other agents when the Banks file documents, including proofs of claim and motions seeking relief from the automatic stay in bankruptcy cases. Use of these bankruptcy procedures has resulted in an insufficient level of oversight and safeguards regarding pleadings and documents filed by the Banks or their agents in bankruptcy cases and their conduct during the bankruptcy cases.

92. Use of these bankruptcy procedures has resulted in the filing of signed pleadings and documents in bankruptcy cases as to which the signatory has not conducted a reasonable inquiry into the factual contentions or allegations, as required by applicable law, including Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9011.

93. Use of these bankruptcy procedures has also resulted in a failure to exercise adequate supervision over the Banks' attorneys, contractors, and other agents in bankruptcy proceedings.

94. As a result of the use of inadequate bankruptcy procedures, the conduct of the Banks or their agents has resulted in, among other things, some or all of the following:

a. making representations that were inaccurate, misleading, false, or for which the Banks, at the time, did not have a reasonable basis to make, including without limitation representations contained in proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 501, motions for relief from the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362, or other documents;

b. filing proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents that failed to include documentation required under the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, local court rules, local court standing orders, or other applicable rules or law, such as the original or a duplicate of the writing on which the secured claim is based, evidence that the security interest has been perfected, a statement setting forth the terms of and any documentation of a transfer of the claim, or other documentation;

c. filing lost note affidavits in connection with proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents that were inaccurate, misleading, or false, or for which the Banks, at the time, did not have a reasonable basis to make;

d. filing proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents where the Banks sought payment from debtors or bankruptcy estates for amounts that the Banks were not legally entitled to collect, such as seeking principal, interest, fees, escrow amounts, and/or advances that

were not incurred, were in excess of what is collectable under the loan documents, were not reasonable or appropriate to protect the note holder's interest in the property and rights under the security instrument, or were inconsistent with an approved loan modification;

e. filing proofs of claim or motions for relief from stay without required itemizations for principal, interest, fees, escrow amounts, and/or advances;

f. filing proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents that inaccurately represented or failed to document ownership of the claim or right to seek relief;

g. commencing collection activities against the debtor or the debtor's property without court authorization, or in violation of the terms of a confirmed chapter 13 plan, the discharge injunction under 11 U.S.C. § 524, or the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362;

h. filing proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents or otherwise commencing collection activities seeking to recover amounts on debts that have been paid or satisfied, including through a refinance of the debt, or a sale or short sale of the collateral;

i. collecting, or attempting to collect, attorney's fees and other charges for the preparation and filing of proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents, that the Banks ultimately withdrew or that a court denied;

j. failing to promptly and accurately apply payments resulting in inaccurate loan accounting and wrongful or inaccurate allegations of loan defaults;

k. filing proofs of claim, motions for relief from stay, or other documents that inaccurately or falsely represented they were signed by a person with direct knowledge of the matters alleged in the filing;

l. filing affidavits or other documents requiring notarization where the Banks inaccurately or falsely represented that the documents were validly notarized;

m. failing to provide required notices to the debtor, trustee, or the court regarding payment changes resulting from a change in interest rate and/or escrow charges;

n. failing to provide notice to the debtor, trustee, or court regarding fees, charges, and expenses assessed or incurred after the petition date; or

o. failing to promptly provide a reconciliation of payments received with respect to the debtor's obligations in the case or failing to appropriately update the Banks' systems of record, including upon dismissal or closure of a bankruptcy case.

95. The Banks implemented and relied upon inadequate bankruptcy procedures despite having actual or constructive notice that such procedures could, and did, lead to the errors described above.

96. Use of these bankruptcy procedures has also resulted in the Banks seeking inappropriate relief from debtors under the Bankruptcy Code, including under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362 and 501, and in violation of 11 U.S.C. § 524.

**D. Violation of Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA), 50 U.S.C. App. §§ 501-597b.**

97. Financial firms responsible for servicing single family mortgages failed to determine consistently and accurately the military status of borrowers in foreclosure.

98. As a result, the Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of violating servicemembers' rights under the SCRA, including, but not limited to the following conduct:

a. The Banks foreclosed upon mortgages without required court orders on properties that were owned by service members who, at the time, were on military service or were otherwise protected by the SCRA, and who had originated their mortgages before they entered into military service in violation of 50 U.S.C. App. § 533;

b. The Banks failed to file an accurate affidavit stating that service members who had not entered an appearance in a civil action involving a foreclosure were at the time in military service or otherwise protected by the SCRA in violation of 50 U.S.C. App. § 521;

c. The Banks wrongfully charged interest rates in excess of 6 percent per annum to servicemembers who were on military service or otherwise protected by the SCRA on mortgage debts that were incurred by servicemembers or servicemembers and their spouses jointly before

servicemembers entered military service and after servicemembers had made valid requests to lower their interest rates, as provided for by the SCRA.

99. In the cases of the above-described wrongful conduct, affected servicemembers had not waived their rights under a separate agreement, as provided for by the SCRA, 50 U.S.C. App. § 527.

100. The servicemembers affected by such wrongful conduct suffered damages and are aggrieved persons under the SCRA, 50 U.S.C. App. § 517.

101. The Banks engaged in the foregoing conduct in disregard of the rights of the affected servicemembers.

### **COUNT I**

#### **UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE CONSUMER PRACTICES WITH RESPECT TO LOAN SERVICING**

102. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

103. The loan servicing conduct of the Banks, as described above, constitutes unfair or deceptive practices in violation of the consumer protection laws of each State.

104. The Banks' unlawful conduct has resulted in injury to the States and citizens of the States who have had home loans serviced by the Banks. The harm sustained by such citizens includes payment of improper fees and charges, unreasonable delays and expenses to obtain loss mitigation relief, improper denial of loss mitigation relief, and loss of homes due to improper, unlawful, or undocumented foreclosures. The harm to the States includes the subversion of



their legal process and the sustained violations of their laws. The States have had to incur substantial expenses in the investigations and attempts to obtain remedies for the Banks' unlawful conduct.

## **COUNT II**

### **UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE CONSUMER PRACTICES WITH RESPECT TO FORECLOSURE PROCESSING**

105. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

106. The foreclosure processing conduct of the Banks, as described above, constitutes unfair or deceptive practices in violation of the consumer protection laws of each State.

107. The Banks' unlawful conduct has resulted in injury to the States and citizens of the States who have had home loans serviced by the Banks. The harm sustained by such citizens includes payment of improper fees and charges, unreasonable delays and expenses to obtain loss mitigation relief, improper denial of loss mitigation relief, and loss of homes due to improper, unlawful, or undocumented foreclosures. The harm to the States includes the subversion of their legal process and the sustained violations of their laws. The States have had to incur substantial expenses in the investigations and attempts to obtain remedies for the Banks' unlawful conduct.

### **COUNT III**

#### **UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE CONSUMER PRACTICES WITH RESPECT TO LOAN ORIGINATION**

108. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

109. The loan origination conduct of the Banks, as described above, constitutes unfair or deceptive practices in violation of the consumer protection laws of each State.

110. The Banks' unlawful conduct has resulted in injury to the States and citizens of the States who have had home loans serviced by the Banks. The harm sustained by such citizens includes payment of improper fees and charges, unreasonably high mortgage payments, unaffordable mortgages, and loss of homes. The harm to the States includes the subversion of their legal processes and the sustained violations of their laws. The States have had to incur substantial expenses in the investigations and attempts to obtain remedies for the Banks' unlawful conduct.

### **COUNT IV**

#### **VIOLATIONS OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), (a)(1)(C) and (a)(1)(G) (2009), and 31 U.S.C. §3729(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(7) (1986)**

111. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

112. By virtue of the acts described above, the Banks knowingly presented or caused to be presented to the United States false or fraudulent claims

for payment or approval, including but not limited to improper claims for payment of FHA residential mortgage insurance or guarantees.

113. In so doing, the Defendants acted knowingly; that is, the Banks possessed actual knowledge that the claims for payment were false or fraudulent; acted in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the claims for payment; or acted in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the claims for payment.

114. By virtue of the acts described above, the Banks made, used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim.

115. In so doing, the Defendants acted knowingly; that is, the Banks possessed actual knowledge that the information, statements and representations were false or fraudulent; acted in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the information, statements and representations; or acted in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information, statements and representations.

116. By virtue of the acts described above, the Banks made, used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the government, and concealed or improperly avoided or decreased an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the United States.

117. In so doing, the Defendants acted knowingly; that is, the Banks possessed actual knowledge that the information, statements and representations were false or fraudulent; acted in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the

information, statements and representations; or acted in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information, statements and representations.

118. By virtue of the acts described above, the Banks conspired with one or more persons: to present or cause to be presented to the United States false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval; to make, use, or cause to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim; and, to make, use, or cause to be made or used, a false record or statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the government; or to conceal or improperly avoid or decrease an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the United States.

#### **COUNT V**

#### **VIOLATION OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS REFORM, RECOVERY AND ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1989, 12 U.S.C. § 1833A (FIRREA)**

119. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

120. The Banks knowingly made or presented false and fictitious claims to Departments of the United States.

121. The claims were material to decisions of the United States.

122. In connection with matters within the jurisdiction of the United States, the Banks knowingly and willfully engaged in conduct that: (a) falsified, concealed or covered up by artifices, schemes or devices, material facts, (b) made statements and representations that violate 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a), and (c) made and

used false writings or documents knowing the same to contain materially false and fictitious statements and entries.

123. The Banks' schemes affected federally insured financial institutions.

#### **COUNT VI**

#### **VIOLATION OF THE SERVICEMEMBERS CIVIL RELIEF ACT, 50 U.S.C. APP. §§ 501, ET SEQ.**

124. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

125. The financial firms engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein violated the protections afforded servicemembers by the SCRA and 50 U.S.C. App. §§ 521, 527 and 533 and constituted a pattern or practice of violation.

126. The servicemembers affected by such wrongful conduct suffered damages and are aggrieved persons under the SCRA.

127. The financial firms engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein acted intentionally, willfully, and/or in disregard of the rights of the affected servicemembers.

#### **COUNT VII**

#### **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 REGARDING THE BANKS' BANKRUPTCY MISCONDUCT**

128. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

129. The Banks implemented and relied on inadequate bankruptcy procedures and thereby have prejudiced debtors, creditors, including the United States, and the courts in bankruptcy cases, have caused increased errors, delays, and costs of administration in bankruptcy cases, and constitute a continuing abuse of the bankruptcy process.

130. The Banks implemented and relied on inadequate bankruptcy procedures and thereby have violated the standards of conduct required of creditors by applicable law, including the Bankruptcy Code and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, or have caused violations of such law.

131. The Banks implemented and relied upon inadequate bankruptcy procedures that abused the bankruptcy process.

132. The Banks' unlawful conduct has resulted in injury to the United States and to debtors in bankruptcy who have had their home loans serviced by the Banks. The harm sustained by such debtors includes payment of improper fees and charges, unreasonable delays and expenses in their bankruptcy cases, and loss of homes due to improper, unlawful, or undocumented foreclosures. The harm sustained by the United States includes reduced and delayed recoveries to the United States in its capacity as a creditor in bankruptcy cases. Such conduct has also caused the United States to assume increased administrative duties in monitoring bankruptcy cases, and to incur expenses in the investigations and litigation of the Banks' unlawful conduct.

## **COUNT VIII**

### **DAMAGES UNDER COMMON LAW RELATED TO THE BANKS' BANKRUPTCY MISCONDUCT**

133. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 101 above are incorporated herein by reference.

134. The Banks implemented and relied on inadequate bankruptcy procedures and thereby has prejudiced debtors, creditors, including the United States, and the courts in bankruptcy cases, has led to increased errors, delays, and costs of administration in bankruptcy cases, and constitutes a continuing abuse of the bankruptcy process.

135. The Banks' abuse of the bankruptcy process violated a duty or duties owed by the Banks to the debtors, the courts, and other parties in such bankruptcy cases, including the United States.

136. The Banks' abuse of the bankruptcy process violates a federal policy, reflected in the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules, in favor of the efficient and equitable administration of bankruptcy cases, as well as the policy of ensuring accuracy in claims submitted to the bankruptcy courts.

137. The Banks' unlawful conduct has resulted in injury to the United States and to debtors in bankruptcy who have had their home loans serviced by the Banks. The harm sustained by such debtors includes payment of improper fees and charges, unreasonable delays and expenses in their bankruptcy cases, and loss of homes due to improper, unlawful, or undocumented foreclosures. The harm sustained by the United States includes reduced and delayed recoveries to the United States in its capacity as a creditor in bankruptcy cases. Such conduct

has also caused the United States to assume increased administrative duties in monitoring bankruptcy cases, and to incur expenses in the investigations and litigation of the Banks' unlawful conduct.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the United States and the Plaintiff States respectfully request that judgment be entered in their favor and against the Banks as follows:

1. On Count I, judgment against the Defendants, injunctive relief to restrain the Banks from further unlawful conduct; an order requiring disgorgement of unlawful gains obtained by the Banks as a result of their unlawful conduct; restitution or other remedial relief to compensate individual victims of the Banks' unlawful conduct; civil penalties; and attorney fees and costs of investigation.

2. On Count II, judgment against the Defendants, injunctive relief to restrain the Banks from further unlawful conduct; an order requiring disgorgement of unlawful gains obtained by the Banks as a result of their unlawful conduct; restitution or other remedial relief to compensate individual victims of the Banks' unlawful conduct; civil penalties; and attorney fees and costs of investigation.

3. On Count III, judgment against the Defendants, injunctive relief to restrain the Banks from further unlawful conduct; an order requiring disgorgement of unlawful gains obtained by the Banks as a result of their unlawful conduct; restitution or other remedial relief to compensate individual



victims of the Banks' unlawful conduct; civil penalties; and attorney fees and costs of investigation.

4. On Count IV, judgment against the Defendants, for treble damages and civil penalties in an amount as the Court may determine between \$5,500 and \$11,000 for each violation;

5. On Count V, for a civil penalty of up to \$1 million dollars for each violation, plus such other relief as is in connection with each false entry or assignment, or such greater amount as provided by law;

6. On Count VI, declaratory and injunctive relief, as appropriate, and an award of damages to be paid to each identifiable victim of the Defendants' violations of the SCRA;

7. On Counts VII and VIII, for appropriate declaratory relief and for compensatory damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, and for necessary post-judgment relief to prohibit the Defendants from violating 11 U.S.C. §§ 362 and 501, and from acting in violation of 11 U.S.C. § 524; and

8. For all other and further relief as the Court may deem just proper and equitable.


LORETTA E. LYNCH  
United States Attorney for the  
Eastern District of New York  
RICHARD K. HAYES  
KENNETH M. ABELL  
271 Cadman Plaza East, 7<sup>th</sup>  
Floor  
Brooklyn, NY 11201  
Tel.: 718-254-7000  
Fax: 718-254-6479

TONY WEST  
Acting Associate Attorney General

STUART F. DELERY  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Division

RONALD C. MACHEN JR.  
United States Attorney for the  
District of Columbia

WILLIAM N. NETTLES  
United States Attorney for the  
District of South Carolina  
FRAN C. TRAPP  
JENNIFER J. ALDRICH  
Wells Fargo Building  
1441 Main Street Suite 500  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Tel.: 803-929-3005  
Fax: 803-254-2912

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KEITH V. MORGAN  
Assistant United States Attorney  
United States Attorney's Office  
555 4<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Room E4814  
Washington, DC 20530  
Tel.: 202-514-7228  
Fax: 202-514-8780

ANNE M. TOMPKINS  
United States Attorney for the  
Western District of  
North Carolina  
DAVID A. BROWN  
DONALD H. CALDWELL  
Carillon Building  
227 West Trade Street  
Suite 1650  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
Tel.: 704-344-6222  
Fax: 704-344-6629

RAMONA ELLIOTT  
General Counsel  
Executive Office for U.S. Trustees  
20 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 8000  
Washington, DC 20530  
Tel.: 202-307-1399  
Fax: 202-307-2397

For the State of Alabama:



---


LUTHER STRANGE  
Attorney General  
State of Alabama  
501 Washington Avenue  
Montgomery, AL 36130  
Tel.: 334-242-7335  
Fax: 334-242-2433

For the State of Alaska:



CYNTHIA C. DRINKWATER  
Assistant Attorney General  
Alaska Attorney General's Office  
1031 W. 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 200  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Tel.: 907-269-5200  
Fax: 907-264-8554

For the State of Arizona:



THOMAS C. HORNE

Arizona Attorney General

by Carolyn R. Matthews

Assistant Attorney General

1275 W. Washington

Phoenix, AZ 85007

Tel.: 602-542-7731

Fax: 602-542-4377

For the State of Arkansas

By:   
JAMES B. DEPRIEST

Deputy Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
323 Center Street, Suite 200  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201  
Tel.: 501-682-5028  
Fax: 501-682-8118

For the State of California:



---

MICHAEL A. TRONCOSO  
Senior Counsel to the Attorney General  
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste. 14500  
San Francisco, CA 94102-7007  
Tel.: 415-703-1008  
Fax: 415-703-1016

For the State of Colorado, *ex. rel.*  
JOHN W. SUTHERS, Attorney General:




---

ANDREW P. MCCALLIN  
First Assistant Attorney General  
Consumer Protection Section  
Colorado Attorney General's Office  
1525 Sherman Street – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Denver, Colorado 80203  
Tel.: 303- 866-5134  
Fax: 303- 866-4916



For the State of Connecticut:



MATTHEW J. BUDZIK  
JOSEPH J. CHAMBERS  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Office of the Connecticut Attorney General  
55 Elm Street, P.O. Box 120  
Hartford, CT 06141-0120  
Tel: 860-808-5270  
Fax: 860-808-5385

For the State of Delaware:


A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Ian R. McConnell", is written over a horizontal line.

IAN R. McCONNEL  
Deputy Attorney General  
Delaware Department of Justice  
Attorney General's Office  
820 N. French Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel.: 302-577-8533  
Fax: 302-577-8426


For the District of Columbia:

IRVIN B. NATHAN  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

ELLEN A. EFROS  
Deputy Attorney General  
Public Interest Division

  
BENNETT RUSHKOFF (Bar #386925)  
Chief, Public Advocacy Section  
Office of the Attorney General  
441 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 600-S  
Washington, DC 20001  
Tel: 202- 727-5173 phone  
Fax: 202-730-1469 fax  
bennett.rushkoff@dc.gov

For the State of Florida:




Pamela Jo Bondi  
Attorney General  
The Capitol PL-01  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
Tel: 850-245-0140  
Fax: 850-413-0632

Patricia A. Conners  
Associate Deputy Attorney General



Victoria A. Butler  
Assistant Attorney General  
Bureau Chief, Economic Crimes Division  
3507 E. Frontage Road  
Suite 325  
Tampa, FL 33607  
Tel: 813-287-7950  
Fax: 813-281-5515

For the State of Georgia:

  
JERFREY W. STUMP  
Assistant Attorney General  
Georgia Department of Law  
40 Capitol Square, S.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334  
Tel.: 404-656-3337  
Fax: 404-656-0677

For the State of Hawaii:



---


DAVID M. LOUIE  
Attorney General, State of Hawaii  
Department of the Attorney General  
425 Queen Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Tel: 808-586-1500  
Fax: 808-586-1239

For the State of Idaho  
LAWRENCE WASDEN, Attorney General:



BRETT T. DELANGE  
Deputy Attorney General  
Office of the Idaho Attorney General  
700 W. Jefferson St.  
P.O. Box 83720  
Boise, ID 83720-0010  
Tel.: 208-334-2400  
Fax: 208-854-8071

For the State of Illinois:



DEBORAH HAGAN

Chief, Consumer Protection Division

Illinois Attorney General's Office

500 South Second Street

Springfield, IL 62706

Tel.: 217-782-9021

Fax: 217-782-1097



For the State of Indiana:




ABIGAIL LAWLIS RUZMA  
Director and Chief Counsel  
Consumer Protection Division  
Indiana Office of Attorney  
General  
302 West Washington St.  
IGCS 5<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204  
Tel.: 317-234-6843  
Fax: 317-233-4393

For the Indiana Department of  
Financial Institutions:

---

DAVID H. MILLS  
Director  
Indiana Department of Financial  
Institutions  
30 South Meridian Street  
Suite 300  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204  
Tel.: 317-233-9460  
Fax: 317-232-7655

For the State of Indiana:

  
ABIGAIL LAWLIS KUZMA  
Director and Chief Counsel  
Consumer Protection Division  
Indiana Office of Attorney General  
302 West Washington St., IGCS 5<sup>th</sup> Fl.  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204  
Tel.: 317-234-6843  
Fax: 317-233-4393

For the State of Iowa:



---


THOMAS J. MILLER  
Attorney General  
1305 E. Walnut St.  
Des Moines, IA 50319  
Tel: 515-281-5164  
Fax: 515- 281-4209

For the State of Kansas:




MEGHAN E. STOPPEL  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Kansas Attorney General  
120 SW 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Topeka, KS 66612  
Tel.: 785-296-3751  
Fax: 785-291-3699

For the Office of the Attorney  
General of Kentucky:

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jack Conway", is written over a horizontal line.

JACK CONWAY  
Attorney General  
Commonwealth of Kentucky  
State Capitol, Suite 118  
700 Capital Avenue  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3449  
Tel.: 502-696-5300  
Fax: 502-564-2894

For the Office of the Attorney  
General of Kentucky:



---

JACK CONWAY  
Attorney General  
Commonwealth of Kentucky  
State Capitol, Suite 118  
700 Capital Avenue  
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3449  
Tel.: 502-696-5300  
Fax: 502-564-2894


For the Kentucky Department of  
Financial Institutions:

---

CHARLES A. VICE  
Commissioner  
Kentucky Department of Financial  
Institutions  
1025 Capital Center Drive  
Suite 200  
Frankfort, KY 40601  
Tel.: 502-573-3390  
Fax: 502-573-8787

For the State of Louisiana:

JAMES D. "BUDDY" CALDWELL  
Attorney General



SANETTRIA GLASPER PLEASANT

Louisiana State Bar # 25396

Assistant Attorney General

Director of Public Protection Division

1885 North Third Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

Baton Rouge, LA 70802

Tel: 225-326-6452

Fax: 225-326-6498

For the State of Maine:



WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER

Attorney General

Burton Cross Office Building, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor

111 Sewall Street


Augusta, Maine 04330

Tel.: 207-626-8800

Fax: 207-624-7730



For the State of Maryland:

  
KATHERINE WINFREE  
Chief Deputy Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
of Maryland  
200 Saint Paul Place  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
Tel: 410-576-6311  
Fax: 410-576-7036  
Bar Number 306662

For The Commonwealth Of  
Massachusetts:

MARTHA COAKLEY  
Attorney General



---

AMBER ANDERSON VILLA  
Mass. BBO #647566  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
Public Protection and Advocacy Bureau  
Consumer Protection Division  
One Ashburton Place  
Boston, MA 02108  
Tel: 617-727-2200


For the State of Michigan:



---

BILL SCHUETTE  
Attorney General  
D.J. Pascoe  
Assistant Attorney General  
525 W. Ottawa Street  
PO Box 30755  
Lansing, MI 48909  
Tel.: 517-373-1160  
Fax: 517-335-3755

For the State of Mississippi:

  
BRIDGETTE W. WIGGINS

Special Assistant Attorney General  
Mississippi Attorney General's Office  
Post Office Box 22947  
Jackson, MS 39225-2947  
Tel.: 601-359-4279  
Fax: 601-359-4231


For the State of Missouri:

CHRIS KOSTER  
Attorney General



DOUGLAS M. OMMEN  
Chief Counsel  
Consumer Protection Division  
PO Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel.: 573-751-7007  
Fax: 573-751-2041

For the State of Montana:

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Bullock', is written over a horizontal line.

STEVE BULLOCK

Attorney General

JAMES P. MOLLOY

Assistant Attorney General

Montana Department of Justice

215 N. Sanders


Helena MT 59624

Tel.: 406-444-2026

Fax: 406-444-3549

For the State of Nebraska:

JON BRUNING, Attorney General



ABIGAIL M. STEMPSON

Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General

2115 State Capitol


Lincoln, NE 68509-8920

Tel.: 402-471-2811

Fax: 402-471-4725

For the State of Nevada:


CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO  
Attorney General



C. WAYNE HOWLE  
Solicitor General  
Nevada State Bar #3443  
100 North Carson Street  
Carson City, Nevada 89701  
Tel: 775- 684-1232  
Fax: 775-684-1108



For the State of New Hampshire:

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "M. Delaney", with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.

MICHAEL A. DELANEY  
Attorney General  
N.H. Department of Justice  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, New Hampshire 03301  
Tel.: 603-271-3658  
Fax: 603-271-2110

For the State of New Jersey:

JEFFREY S. CHIESA  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

By: 

LORRAINE K. RAK  
Deputy Attorney General  
Chief, Consumer Fraud Prosecution Section  
Division of Law  
124 Halsey Street – 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 45029  
Newark, New Jersey 07101  
Tel.: 973-877-1280  
Fax: 973-648-4887

For the State of New Mexico:



GARY K. KING, Attorney General

KAREN J. MEYERS, Assistant Attorney General

Office of New Mexico Attorney General


PO Drawer 1508

Santa Fe, NM 87504-1508

Tel: 505-222-9100

Fax: 505-222-9033

For the State of New York:



---

JEFFREY K. POWELL

Deputy Bureau Chief

Bureau of Consumer Frauds & Protection

Office of the New York State Attorney General

120 Broadway

New York, NY 10271

Tel.: 212-416-8309

Fax: 212-416-6003

For the Attorney General of North Carolina:

ROY COOPER  
Attorney General


 *1/4A with authorization*  
PHILIP A. LEHMAN

Assistant Attorney General  
N.C. Department of Justice  
P. O. Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Tel.: 919-716-6000  
Fax: 919-716-6019  
Email: plehman@ncdoj.gov

For the State of North Dakota

WAYNE STENEHJEM

Attorney General



PARRELL D. GROSSMAN

(ID No. 04684)

Assistant Attorney General

Director, Consumer Protection and  
Antitrust Division

Office of Attorney General

Gateway Professional Center

1050 E Interstate Ave, Ste. 200

Bismarck, ND 58503-5574

Tel: 701-328-5570

Fax: 701-328-5568

For the Ohio Attorney General  
MIKE DEWINE:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matt J. Lampke", is written over a horizontal line.

MATTHEW J. LAMPKE

JEFFREY R. LOESER

SUSAN A. CHOE

Assistant Attorneys General

Ohio Attorney General

30 E. Broad St., 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Columbus, OH 43215

Tel.: 614-466-1305

Fax: 614-466-8898

For the State of Oregon,  
Attorney General JOHN R. KROGER:



---

SIMON WHANG  
Assistant Attorney General  
Oregon Department of Justice  
Financial Fraud/Consumer Protection  
1515 SW 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 410  
Portland, OR 97201  
Tel.: 971-673-1880  
Fax: 971-673-1902




For the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Office of Attorney General:




LINDA L. KELLY  
Attorney General  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Office of Attorney General  
16<sup>th</sup> Floor, Strawberry Square  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
Tel: 717-787-3391  
Fax: 717-783-1107

For the Rhode Island Department of Attorney General:



Gerald Coyne  
Rhode Island Department of Attorney General  
Deputy Attorney General  
150 South Main Street  
Providence, RI 02903  
Tel: 401-274- 4400 Extension 2257  
Fax: 401- 222-1302

For the State of South Carolina:



---

ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General

JOHN W. MCINTOSH  
Chief Deputy Attorney General

C. HAVIRD JONES, JR.  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General

MARY FRANCES JOWERS  
Assistant Attorney General

South Carolina Attorney General's Office  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Tel.: 803-734-3970  
Fax: 803-734-3677

For the State of South Dakota:



---

MARTY J. JACKLEY  
Attorney General  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501  
Tel.: 605-773-3215  
Fax: 605-773-4106

For the State of Tennessee:



---

ROBERT E. COOPER, JR.  
Attorney General and Reporter  
Office of the Tennessee Attorney General  
425 Fifth Avenue North  
Nashville, TN 37243-3400  
Tel.: 615-741-3491  
Fax: 615-741-2009

For the State of Texas:

  
JAMES A. DAROSS

State Bar No. 05391500

Assistant Attorney General

Consumer Protection Division


401 E. Franklin Avenue, Suite 530

El Paso, Texas 79901

Tel.: 915- 834-5800

Fax: 915-542-1546

For the State of Utah:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark L. Shurtleff", is written over a horizontal line.

MARK L. SHURTLEFF  
Utah Attorney General  
350 North State Street, #230  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2320  
Tel.: 801-538-1191  
Fax: 801-538-1121

For the State of Vermont




---

Elliot Burg  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
109 State Street  
Montpelier, VT 05609  
Tel.: 802-828-2153  
Fax: 802-828-2154




For The Commonwealth of Virginia,  
*ex rel.* KENNETH T. CUCCINELLI, II,  
Attorney General:



DAVID B. IRVIN (VSB #23927)  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
MARK S. KUBIAK (VSB #73119)  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of Virginia Attorney General  
900 East Main Street  
Richmond, Virginia 23219  
Tel.: 804-786-4047  
Fax: 804-786-0122

For the State of Washington:




ROBERT M. MCKENNA  
WSBA# 18327  
Attorney General  
1125 Washington Street SE  
Olympia, WA 98504-0100  
Tel: 360-753-6200



DAVID W. HUEY WSBA #31380  
Assistant Attorney General  
Consumer Protection Division  
Washington Attorney General's Office  
1250 Pacific Avenue, Suite 105  
PO Box 2317  
Tacoma, WA 98402-4411  
Tel: 253-593-5243

For the State of Wisconsin:

J.B. VAN HOLLEN  
Attorney General

  
HOLLY C. POMRANING  
Assistant Attorney General  
Wisconsin Department of Justice  
Post Office Box 7857  
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857  
Tel: 608-266-5410  
Fax: 608-267-8906

For the State of Wyoming:



GREGORY A. PHILLIPS

Wyoming Attorney General

Wyoming Attorney General's Office

123 State Capitol Bldg

200 W. 24<sup>th</sup>

Cheyenne, WY 82002

Tel.: 307-777-7847

Fax: 307-777-3435